

Infinite Log-Concavity and r-Factor

Zahid Raza and Anjum Iqbal

Department of Mathematics

National University of Computer and Emerging Sciences,
Lahore Campus, Pakistan.

zahid.raza@nu.edu.pk

anjum_237@yahoo.com

Abstract

D. Uminsky and K. Yeats [6] studied the properties of the *log-operator* $\mathcal{L}$ on the subset of the finite symmetric sequences and prove the existence of an infinite region $\mathcal{R}$, bounded by parametrically defined hypersurfaces such that any sequence corresponding a point of $\mathcal{R}$ is *infinitely log concave*. We study the properties of a new operator $\mathcal{L}_r$ and redefine the hypersurfaces which generalizes the one defined by Uminsky and Yeats [6].

We show that any sequence corresponding a point of the region $\mathcal{R}$, bounded by the new generalized parametrically defined r-factor hypersurfaces, is *Generalized r-factor infinitely log concave*. We also give an improved value of r_0 found by McNamara and Sagan [4] as the log-concavity criterion using the new log-operator.

1 Introduction

A sequence $(a_k) = a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$ of real numbers is said to be *log-concave* (or *1-fold log-concave*) iff the new sequence (b_k) defined by the $\mathcal{L}$ operator $(b_k) = \mathcal{L}(a_k)$ is non negative for all $k \in N$, where $b_k = a_k^2 - a_{k-1}a_{k+1}$. A sequence (a_k) is said to be *2-fold log-concave* iff $\mathcal{L}^2(a_k) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(a_k)) = \mathcal{L}(b_k)$ is non negative for all $k \in N$, where $\mathcal{L}(b_k) = b_k^2 - b_{k-1}b_{k+1}$ and the sequence (a_k) is said to be *i-fold log-concave* iff $\mathcal{L}^i(a_k)$ is non negative for all $k \in N$, where

$$\mathcal{L}^i(a_k) = [\mathcal{L}^{i-1}(a_k)]^2 - [\mathcal{L}^{i-1}(a_{k-1})][\mathcal{L}^{i-1}(a_{k+1})].$$

(a_k) is said to be *infinitely log-concave* iff $\mathcal{L}^i(a_k)$ is non negative for all $i \geq 1$. Binomial coefficients $\binom{n}{0}, \binom{n}{1}, \binom{n}{2}, \dots$ along any row of Pascal's triangle are

log concave for all $n \geq 0$. Boros and Moll [1] conjectured that binomial coefficients along any row of Pascal's triangle are *infinitely log-concave* for all $n \geq 0$. This was later confirmed by P. McNamara and B. Sagan [4] for the n^{th} rows of Pascal's triangle for $n \leq 1450$.

P. McNamara and B. Sagan [4] defined a stronger version of *log-concavity*. A sequence $(a_k) = a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$ of real numbers is said to be *r-factor log-concave* iff

$$a_k^2 \geq r a_{k-1} a_{k+1} \quad (1)$$

for all $k \in N$. Thus *r-factor log-concave* sequence implies *log-concavity* if $r \geq 1$. We are interested only in *log-concave* sequences, so from here onward, value of r used would mean $r \geq 1$ unless otherwise stated.

We first define a new operator $\mathcal{L}_r$ and then using this operator, we define *Generalized r-factor Infinite log-concavity* which is a bit more stronger version of *log-concavity*.

Define the real operator $\mathcal{L}_r$ and the new sequence (b_k) such that $(b_k) = \mathcal{L}_r(a_k)$, where

$$b_k = a_k^2 - r a_{k-1} a_{k+1}$$

or
$$\mathcal{L}_r(a_k) = a_k^2 - r a_{k-1} a_{k+1}$$

Then (a_k) is said to be *r-factor log-concave* (or *Generalized r-factor 1-fold log-concave*) iff (b_k) is non negative for all $k \in N$.

This again defines (1) alternatively using $\mathcal{L}_r$ operator. (a_k) is said to be *Generalized r-factor 2-fold log-concave* iff $\mathcal{L}_r^2(a_k) = \mathcal{L}_r(\mathcal{L}_r(a_k)) = \mathcal{L}_r(b_k)$ is non negative for all $k \in N$, where

$$\mathcal{L}_r(b_k) = b_k^2 - r b_{k-1} b_{k+1}$$

or
$$\mathcal{L}_r^2(a_k) = [\mathcal{L}_r(a_k)]^2 - r [\mathcal{L}_r(a_{k-1})] [\mathcal{L}_r(a_{k+1})]$$

(a_k) is said to be *Generalized r-factor i-fold log-concave* iff $\mathcal{L}_r^i(a_k)$ is non negative for all $k \in N$, where

$$\mathcal{L}_r^i(a_k) = [\mathcal{L}_r^{i-1}(a_k)]^2 - r [\mathcal{L}_r^{i-1}(a_{k-1})] [\mathcal{L}_r^{i-1}(a_{k+1})]$$

(a_k) is said to be *Generalized r-factor infinite log-concave* iff $\mathcal{L}_r^i(a_k)$ is non negative for all $i \geq 1$.

D. Uminsky and K. Yeats [6] studied the properties of the *log-operator* $\mathcal{L}$ on the subset of the finite symmetric sequences of the form

$$\{\dots, 0, 0, 1, x_o, x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots, x_1, x_o, 1, 0, 0, \dots\},$$

$$\{\dots, 0, 0, 1, x_o, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_n, \dots, x_1, x_o, 1, 0, 0, \dots\}.$$

The first sequence above is referred as odd of length $2n + 3$ and second as even of length $2n + 4$. Any such sequence corresponds to a point $(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. They prove the existence of an infinite region $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, bounded by $n + 1$ parametrically defined hypersurfaces such that any sequence corresponding a point of $\mathcal{R}$ is *infinitely log concave*.

In the first part of this paper, we study the properties of the *Generalized r-factor log-operator* $\mathcal{L}_r$ on these finite symmetric sequences and redefine the parametrically defined hypersurfaces which generalizes the one defined by [6]. We show that any sequence corresponding a point of the region $\mathcal{R}$, bounded by the new generalized parametrically defined r-factor hypersurfaces, is *Generalized r-factor infinite log concave*.

In the end, we give an improved value of r_0 found by McNamara and Sagan [4] as the log-concavity criterion using the new log-operator $\mathcal{L}_r$.

Lemma 1.1. *Let (a_k) be a r-factor log-concave sequence of non-negative terms. If $\mathcal{L}_r(a_k)$ is Generalized r-factor log-concave then*

$$(r^5) a_{k-2} a_{k-1} a_{k+1} a_{k+2} \leq a_k^4.$$

In general, if $\mathcal{L}^{i+1}(a_k)$ is Generalized r-factor log-concave, then

$$(r^5) \mathcal{L}_r^i(a_{k-2}) \mathcal{L}_r^i(a_{k-1}) \mathcal{L}_r^i(a_{k+1}) \mathcal{L}_r^i(a_{k+2}) \leq [\mathcal{L}_r^i(a_k)]^4.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}_r(a_k)$ is r-factor log-concave, then

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{L}_r(a_k)]^2 &\geq r [\mathcal{L}_r(a_{k-1})] [\mathcal{L}_r(a_{k+1})] \\ (a_k^2 - r a_{k-1} a_{k+1})^2 &\geq r (a_{k-1}^2 - r a_{k-2} a_k) (a_{k+1}^2 - r a_k a_{k+2}) \\ \left(a_k^4 + (r^2 - r) a_{k-1}^2 a_{k+1}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + r^2 a_{k-1}^2 a_k a_{k+2} + r^2 a_{k-2} a_k a_{k+1}^2 \right) \geq 2 r a_{k-1} a_k^2 a_{k+1} + r^3 a_{k-2} a_k^2 a_{k+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since (a_k) is r-factor log concave, so applying $a_k^2 \geq r a_{k-1} a_{k+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{2r+1}{r} \right) a_k^4 &\geq (2r+1) r^4 a_{k-2} a_{k-1} a_{k+1} a_{k+2} \\ \Rightarrow (r^5) a_{k-2} a_{k-1} a_{k+1} a_{k+2} &\leq a_k^4. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $\mathcal{L}_r^2(a_k)$ is Generalized r-factor log-concave, then

$$(r^5) \mathcal{L}_r(a_{k-2}) \mathcal{L}_r(a_{k-1}) \mathcal{L}_r(a_{k+1}) \mathcal{L}_r(a_{k+2}) \leq [\mathcal{L}_r(a_k)]^4$$

Continuing this way, if $\mathcal{L}_r^{i+1}(a_k)$ is Generalized r-factor log-concave, then

$$(r^5) \mathcal{L}_r^i(a_{k-2}) \mathcal{L}_r^i(a_{k-1}) \mathcal{L}_r^i(a_{k+1}) \mathcal{L}_r^i(a_{k+2}) \leq [\mathcal{L}_r^i(a_k)]^4.$$

□

If we can prove conversely, above lemma can be used as an alternative criterion to verify the *r-factor i-fold log-concavity* of a given *r-factor log-concave* sequence.

The Generalized r-factor log-operator $\mathcal{L}_r$ equals the log-operator $\mathcal{L}$ for $r = 1$, so *Generalized r-factor infinite log-concavity* implies *infinite log-concavity*. Thus, we have the following results:

Lemma 1.2. *Let (a_k) be a log-concave sequence of non-negative terms. If $\mathcal{L}(a_k)$ is log-concave, then*

$$a_{k-2} a_{k-1} a_{k+1} a_{k+2} \leq a_k^4.$$

In general, if $\mathcal{L}^{i+1}(a_k)$ is log-concave, then

$$\mathcal{L}^i(a_{k-2}) \mathcal{L}^i(a_{k-1}) \mathcal{L}^i(a_{k+1}) \mathcal{L}^i(a_{k+2}) \leq [\mathcal{L}^i(a_k)]^4$$

Lemma 1.3. *Every Generalized r-factor infinitely log-concave sequence (a_k) of non-negative terms is infinitely log-concave.*

2 Region of Infinite log-concavity and r-factor

One dimensional even and odd sequences $\{1, x, x, 1\}, \{1, x, 1\}$ correspond to a point $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Uminsky and Yeats [6] after applying the *log-operator* $\mathcal{L}$ showed that the positive fixed point for the sequence $\mathcal{L}\{1, x, x, 1\} = \{1, x^2 - x, x^2 - x, 1\}$ is $x = 2$ and for $\mathcal{L}\{1, x, 1\} = \{1, x^2 - 1, 1\}$ is $x = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$. Also the sequence $\{1, x, x, 1\}$ is infinitely log-concave if $x \geq 2$ and $\{1, x, 1\}$ is infinitely log-concave if $x \geq \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$. For detail see [6]

Now if we apply the Generalized r-factor log operator $\mathcal{L}_r$, instead of applying the log operator $\mathcal{L}$, then after a simple calculation we see that the positive fixed point for the sequence $\mathcal{L}_r\{1, x, x, 1\} = \{1, x^2 - rx, x^2 - rx, 1\}$ is $x = 1 + r$ and for $\mathcal{L}_r\{1, x, 1\} = \{1, x^2 - r, 1\}$ is $x = \frac{1+\sqrt{1+4r}}{2}$. Also the sequence $\{1, x, x, 1\}$ is Generalized r-factor infinitely log-concave if $x \geq 1 + r$ and $\{1, x, 1\}$ is Generalized r-factor infinitely log-concave if $x \geq \frac{1+\sqrt{1+4r}}{2}$. This agrees with the results obtained by Uminsky and Yeats for $r = 1$.

2.1 Leading terms analysis using r-factor log-concavity

Consider the even sequence of length $2n + 4$

$$s = \left\{ 1, a_0 x, a_1 x^{1+d_1}, a_2 x^{1+d_1+d_2}, \dots, a_n x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_n}, a_n x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_n}, \dots, a_1 x^{1+d_1}, a_0 x, 1 \right\} \quad (2)$$

If we apply $\mathcal{L}_r$ operator on s , instead of applying $\mathcal{L}$, then

$$\mathcal{L}_r(s) = \left\{ 1, x(a_o^2 x - ra_1 x^{d_1}), x^{2+d_1}(a_1^2 x^{d_1} - ra_2 a_o x^{d_2}), x^{2+2d_1+d_2}(a_2^2 x^{d_2} - ra_3 a_1 x^{d_3}), \dots, \right. \\ \left. x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{n-1}+d_n}(a_n^2 x^{d_n} - ra_n a_{n-1}), x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{n-1}+d_n}(a_n^2 x^{d_n} - ra_n a_{n-1}), \dots, 1 \right\}$$

where, $0 \leq d_n \leq d_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq d_1 \leq 1$. The $(n-1)$ faces are defined by $d_1 = 1$, $d_j = d_{j+1}$, for $0 < j < n$, and $d_n = 0$, they define the boundaries of what will be our open region of convergence, for detail see [6]

For $d_1 = 1$. The leading terms of $\mathcal{L}_r(s)$ are

$$\left\{ 1, (a_o^2 - ra_1)x^2, a_1^2 x^4, a_2^2 x^{4+2d_2}, \dots, a_n^2 x^{4+2d_2+\dots+2d_n}, a_n^2 x^{4+2d_2+\dots+2d_n}, \dots, 1 \right\}$$

matching the coefficients of leading terms in $\mathcal{L}_r(s)$ with the coefficients of s . So that the leading terms of $\mathcal{L}_r$ have the same form as s itself for some new x *i.e.*,

$$\begin{array}{l|l} a_o^2 - ra_1 = a_o & \Rightarrow a_o = \frac{1+\sqrt{1+4r}}{2} \\ a_1^2 = a_1 & \Rightarrow a_1 = 1 \\ a_2^2 = a_2 & \Rightarrow a_2 = 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a_n^2 = a_n & \Rightarrow a_n = 1 \end{array} \quad (a_1 = 1, \text{ follows from the next step})$$

so we have the positive values

$$a_o = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1+4r}}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad a_i = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < i \leq n. \quad (3)$$

This agrees with the values, $a_o = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, and $a_i = 1$ for $0 < i \leq n$, obtained by Uminsky and Yeats [6] for $r = 1$.

For $d_j = d_{j+1}$. The leading terms of $\mathcal{L}_r(s)$ are

$$\left\{ 1, a_o^2 x^2, a_1^2 x^{2+2d_1}, a_2^2 x^{2+2d_1+2d_2}, \dots, (a_j^2 - ra_{j-1} a_{j+1}) x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_j}, \right. \\ \left. a_{j+1}^2 x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{j-1}+4d_j}, \dots, a_n^2 x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_n}, a_n^2 x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_n}, \dots, 1 \right\}$$

comparing the coefficients, we get the positive values

$$a_i = 1 \quad \text{for } i \neq j, \quad \text{and} \quad a_j = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4r}}{2}. \quad (4)$$

This gives the values for $r = 1$, $a_i = 1$ for $i \neq j$, and $a_j = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, same as obtained in [6].

For $\mathbf{d}_n = \mathbf{0}$. The leading terms of $\mathcal{L}_r(s)$ are

$$\left\{ 1, a_{\circ}^2 x^2, a_1^2 x^{2+2d_1}, a_2^2 x^{2+2d_1+2d_2}, \dots, a_{n-1}^2 x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{n-1}}, \right. \\ \left. (a_n^2 - r a_n a_{n-1}) x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{n-1}}, (a_n^2 - r a_n a_{n-1}) x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{n-1}}, \dots, 1 \right\}$$

comparing the coefficients, we get the values

$$a_i = 1 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i < n, \quad \text{and} \quad a_n = 1 + r. \quad (5)$$

This again agrees with the values, $a_i = 1$ for $0 \leq i < n$, and $a_n = 2$, obtained in [6] for $r = 1$.

Similarly for the odd sequence of length $2n + 3$

$$s = \left\{ 1, a_{\circ} x, a_1 x^{1+d_1}, a_2 x^{1+d_1+d_2}, \dots, a_n x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_n}, \dots, a_1 x^{1+d_1}, a_{\circ} x, 1 \right\} \quad (6)$$

applying $\mathcal{L}_r$ operator

$$\mathcal{L}_r(s) = \left\{ 1, x(a_{\circ}^2 x - r a_1 x^{d_1}), x^{2+d_1}(a_1^2 x^{d_1} - r a_2 a_{\circ} x^{d_2}), x^{2+2d_1+d_2}(a_2^2 x^{d_2} - r a_3 a_1 x^{d_3}) \right. \\ \left. , \dots, x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{n-1}}(a_{n-1}^2 x^{d_{n-1}} - r a_n^2), \dots, 1 \right\}$$

For $\mathbf{d}_1 = \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{d}_j = \mathbf{d}_{j+1}$ This is equivalent to the even case. See (3), (4).

So we only analyze for $\mathbf{d}_n = \mathbf{0}$. The leading terms of $\mathcal{L}_r(s)$ are

$$\{1, a_{\circ}^2 x^2, a_1^2 x^{2+2d_1}, \dots, a_{n-1}^2 x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{n-1}}, (a_n^2 - r a_n^2) x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{n-1}}, \dots, 1\}$$

so equating the coefficients, we get,

$$a_i = 1 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i < n, \quad \text{and} \quad a_n = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4r}}{2}. \quad (7)$$

This again agrees with the values for $r = 1$, as obtained in [6].

2.2 r-factor Hypersurfaces

The even sequence (2) and the odd sequence (6) correspond to the point $(a_o x, a_1 x^{1+d_1}, \dots, a_n x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Hence from (3), (4), (5) and (7) the redefined and generalized parametrically defined Hypersurfaces are

$$\mathcal{H}_o = \left\{ \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1+4r}}{2} x, x^2, x^{2+d_2}, \dots, x^{2+d_2+\dots+d_n} \right) : 1 \leq x, 1 > d_2 > \dots > d_n > 0 \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_j = \left\{ \left(x, x^{1+d_1}, \dots, \frac{1 + \sqrt{1+4r}}{2} x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_j}, x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{j-1}+2d_j}, \dots, x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{j-1}+2d_j+d^{j+2}+\dots+d_n} \right) : 1 \leq x, 1 > d_1 > \dots > d_j > d_{j+2} > \dots > d_n > 0 \right\}$$

The hypersurfaces $\mathcal{H}_j$ are same for $0 \leq j < n$ in both even and odd cases, while $\mathcal{H}_n$ is different i.e.,

In even case:

$$\mathcal{H}_n = \left\{ \left(x, x^{1+d_1}, \dots, x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}}, (1+r)x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}} \right) : 1 \leq x, 1 > d_1 > \dots > d_{n-1} > 0 \right\}$$

In odd case:

$$\mathcal{H}_n = \left\{ \left(x, x^{1+d_1}, \dots, x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}}, \frac{1 + \sqrt{1+4r}}{2} x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}} \right) : 1 \leq x, 1 > d_1 > \dots > d_{n-1} > 0 \right\}$$

Hence the r-factor hypersurfaces define the general case which for $r = 1$ agrees with the hypersurfaces obtained in [6].

So from here onward we consider $\mathcal{R}$ to be the region of Generalized r-factor infinite log-concavity and is bounded by the new generalized r-factor hypersurfaces.

Also any sequence $\{\dots, 0, 0, 1, x_o, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_n, \dots, x_1, x_o, 1, 0, 0, \dots\}$ is in $\mathcal{R}$ iff $(x_o, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{R}$ and with the positive increasing coordinates defined as greater in the i^{th} coordinate than $\mathcal{H}_i$. In this case we say that above sequence lies on the correct side of $\mathcal{H}_i$. For detail see [6].

Next we present the r-factor log-concavity version of the Lemma (3.2) of [6].

Lemma 2.1. *Let the sequence*

$$s = \left\{ 1, x, x^{1+d_1}, x^{1+d_1+d_2}, \dots, x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_n}, x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_n}, \dots, x, 1 \right\}$$

be r-factor 1-log-concave for $x > 0$. Then $1 \geq d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_n \geq 0$.

Proof. Using definition of r-factor log-concavity, it can be easily proved. $\square$

A similar results holds for the odd sequence as well.

In Lemma (3.3), Uminsky and Yeats [6] using properties of the triangular numbers and the sequence

$$s = \left\{ 1, C^{T(0)}ax_{\circ}, C^{T(1)}a^2x_1, C^{T(2)}a^3x_2, \dots, C^{T(n)}a^{n+1}x_n, C^{T(n)}a^{n+1}x_n, \dots, 1 \right\} \quad (8)$$

proved the existence of the log-concavity region $\mathcal{R}$ by applying log-operator $\mathcal{L}$ for $a > 2C^{T(n-1)-T(n)}$ and for $0 < C < \frac{2}{1+\sqrt{5}}$.

If we choose C such that $0 < C < \frac{2\sqrt{r}}{1+\sqrt{1+4r}}$, then applying the Generalized r-factor log-operator $\mathcal{L}_r$ on the sequence (8), we can easily prove the existence of the Generalized r-factor log-concavity region $\mathcal{R}$ for $a > (1+r)C^{T(n-1)-T(n)}$.

Sequence s (8) is not the only sequence for which $\mathcal{R}$ is non-empty. For completeness, we will give an alternative proof of the Lemma (2.2) using Pentagon numbers. One can also prove it by some other numbers such as figurate numbers.

Let $\tilde{P}(n)$ denotes the n^{th} pentagonal number, then

$$\tilde{P}(n) = \frac{n(3n-1)}{2} = \tilde{P}(n-1) + 3n-2$$

Define $P(n) = 2\tilde{P}(n)$ for $n \geq 0$, we can easily have

$$P(n+1) + P(n-1) = 2P(n) + 6 \quad (9)$$

$$\Rightarrow P(n+1) + P(n-1) > 2P(n) \quad (10)$$

$$\Rightarrow C^{P(n+1)+P(n-1)} < C^{2P(n)} \quad \text{for all } C < 1 \quad (11)$$

$$\text{Also } P(0) - \frac{P(1)}{2} = -1 \quad \because \tilde{P}(0) = 0 \text{ and } \tilde{P}(1) = 1 \quad (12)$$

Hence the Generalized r-factor log-concavity version of Lemma (3.3) of [6] is given below:

Lemma 2.2. *The Generalized r-factor infinite log-concavity region $\mathcal{R}$ is non-empty and unbounded.*

Proof. Let $q = \{\dots, 0, 0, 1, x_{\circ}, x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots, x_1, x_{\circ}, 1, 0, 0, \dots\}$ be any r-factor log-concave sequence of positive terms.

Choose C such that

$$0 < C < \frac{2\sqrt{r}}{1 + \sqrt{1+4r}} < 1 \quad (13)$$

and consider the following sequence

$$s = \left\{ 1, C^{P(0)} a x_{\circ}, C^{P(1)} a^2 x_1, C^{P(2)} a^3 x_2, \dots, C^{P(n)} a^{n+1} x_n, C^{P(n)} a^{n+1} x_n, \dots, 1 \right\}$$

for $a > (1+r)C^{P(n-1)-P(n)} > C^{P(n-1)-P(n)}$, (14)

now using r-factor log-concavity of q , we have

$$C^{2P(0)} a^2 x_{\circ}^2 = a^2 x_{\circ}^2 \geq a^2 r x_1 > r C^{P(1)} a^2 x_1 \quad (15)$$

also for $0 < j < n$

$$\begin{aligned} C^{2P(j)} a^{2j+2} x_j^2 &\geq C^{2P(j)} a^{2j+2} (r x_{j-1} x_{j+1}) \\ &= r C^{2P(j)} a^j x_{j-1} a^{j+2} x_{j+1} \\ &> r C^{P(j-1)} a^j x_{j-1} C^{P(j+1)} a^{j+2} x_{j+1}. \end{aligned} \quad \text{by (11)} \quad (16)$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} C^{P(n)} a^{n+1} x_n &\geq a C^{P(n)} a^n (r x_{n-1}) \\ &> C^{P(n-1)-P(n)} r C^{P(n)} a^n x_{n-1} \quad \text{by (14)} \\ &> r C^{P(n-1)} a^n x_{n-1} \\ &> C^{P(n-1)} a^n x_{n-1} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} C^{2P(n)} a^{2n+2} x_n^2 &= C^{P(n)} a^{n+1} x_n C^{P(n)} a^{n+1} x_n \\ &> r C^{P(n-1)} a^n x_{n-1} C^{P(n)} a^{n+1} x_n. \end{aligned} \quad \text{by (17)} \quad (18)$$

From (15),(16),(18), we conclude that s is also r-factor 1-log-concave.

Define $\tilde{x} = C^{P(0)} a x_{\circ}$ and define $\tilde{d}_1$ such that $\tilde{x}^{1+\tilde{d}_1} = C^{P(1)} a^2 x_1$ and continuing, we have $\tilde{x}^{1+\tilde{d}_1+\dots+\tilde{d}_j} = C^{P(j)} a^{j+1} x_j$

$$\Rightarrow \quad 1 > \tilde{d}_1 > \tilde{d}_2 > \dots > \tilde{d}_n > 0 \quad \text{by lemma (2.1)}$$

For $\mathcal{H}_j$

Choose $x = \tilde{x}$, $d_i = \tilde{d}_i$ for $i \neq j, j+1$. and $d_j = (\tilde{d}_j + \tilde{d}_{j+1})/2$ for hypersurface $\mathcal{H}_j$.

Consequently $1 > d_1 > \dots > d_j > d_{j+2} > \dots > d_n > 0$, and so

$$\begin{aligned}
C^{P(j)} a^{j+1} x_j &\geq C^{P(j)} a^{j+1} \sqrt{r x_{j-1} x_{j+1}} \\
&= \sqrt{r} \sqrt{C^{2P(j)-P(j+1)-P(j-1)} C^{P(j-1)} a^j x_{j-1} C^{P(j+1)} a^{j+2} x_{j+1}} \\
&= \sqrt{r} \sqrt{C^{-6} x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{j-1}} x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{j-1}+2d_j}} \quad \text{by (9)} \\
&= \sqrt{r} C^{-3} x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{j-1}+d_j} \\
&> \sqrt{r} C^{-1} x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{j-1}+d_j} \\
&> \frac{1 + \sqrt{1+4r}}{2} x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{j-1}+d_j} \quad \text{by (13)} \quad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus s is on the correct side of $\mathcal{H}_j$.

For $\mathcal{H}_0$.

Choose $x = \tilde{x}$, $d_1 = 1$ and $d_i = \tilde{d}_i \forall i > 1$.

Consequently, $1 > d_2 > \dots > d_n > 0$, by lemma (2.1)
and so

$$\begin{aligned}
C^{P(1)} a^2 x^1 &= \tilde{x}^{1+\tilde{d}_1} = \tilde{x}^2 = x^2 \\
\Rightarrow a^2 x_1 &= C^{-P(1)} x^2 \quad (20)
\end{aligned}$$

also $C^{P(j)} a^{j+1} x^j = \tilde{x}^{1+\tilde{d}_1+\dots+\tilde{d}_j} = x^{2+d_2+\dots+d_j}$

Now we check

$$\begin{aligned}
C^{P(0)} a x_0 &\geq C^{P(0)} \sqrt{r a^2 x_1} \\
&= \sqrt{r} C^{P(0)} \sqrt{C^{-P(1)} x^2} \quad \text{by (20)} \\
&= \sqrt{r} C^{P(0)-\frac{P(1)}{2}} x \\
&= \sqrt{r} C^{-1} x \quad \text{by (12)} \\
&> \frac{1 + \sqrt{1+4r}}{2} x \quad \text{by (13)} \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus s is on the correct side of $\mathcal{H}_0$.

For $\mathcal{H}_n$

Choose $x = \tilde{x}$, and $d_i = \tilde{d}_i$ for $i < n$, $\tilde{d}_n = d_n = 0$ for $\mathcal{H}_n$.

Consequently, we have $1 > d_1 > \dots > d_{n-1} > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
C^{P(n)} a^{n+1} x_n &\geq C^{P(n)} a^{n+1} (r x_{n-1}) \\
&= r a C^{P(n)-P(n-1)} C^{P(n-1)} a^n x_{n-1} \\
&\geq a C^{P(n)-P(n-1)} x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}} \\
&> (1+r) x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}} \quad \text{by (14)} \quad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus s is on the correct side of $\mathcal{H}_n$.

From (19),(21),(22), and by the definition of the region $\mathcal{R}$, we conclude that sequence s is in $\mathcal{R}$. Hence using r -factor log-concavity, $\mathcal{R}$ is non-empty and unbounded. $\square$

For the same choice of C (13), lemma (2.2) also holds for the odd sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
s &= \left\{ 1, C^{P(0)} a x_0, C^{P(1)} a^2 x_1, C^{P(2)} a^3 x_2, \dots, C^{P(n)} a^{n+1} x_n, C^{P(n-1)} a^n x_n, \dots, 1 \right\} \\
&\text{for } a > \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1+4r}}{2\sqrt{r}} \right) C^{P(n-1)-P(n)} > C^{P(n-1)-P(n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

So $\mathcal{R}$ is non-empty and unbounded in the odd case as well.

2.3 Region of Generalized r-factor Infinite Log-Concavity

Now we present the Generalized r-factor Infinite log-concavity version of the main theorem of [6].

Theorem 2.1. *Any sequence in $\mathcal{R}$ is Generalized r-factor Infinite log-concave.*

Proof. By definition of $\mathcal{R}$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
s &= \left\{ 1, x, x^{1+d_1}, \dots, x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{j-1}}, \frac{1 + \sqrt{1+4r}}{2} x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_j} + \epsilon, x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{j-1}+2d_j}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. x^{1+d_1+\dots+2d_j+\dots+d_n}, x^{1+d_1+\dots+2d_j+\dots+d_n}, \dots, 1 \right\} \quad x, \epsilon > 0
\end{aligned}$$

be a sequence in $\mathcal{R}$

Applying $\mathcal{L}_r$ operator on s and simplifying, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_r(s) = & \left\{ 1, x^2 - rx^{1+d_1}, \dots, x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{j-1}} - r \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4r}}{2} \right) x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{j-2}+d_{j-1}+d_j} \right. \\ & - \epsilon r x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{j-2}}, \left(\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4r}}{2} \right)^2 - r \right) x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_j} + \epsilon^2 \\ & - \epsilon (1 + \sqrt{1+4r}) x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_j}, x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{j-1}+4d_j} - r \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4r}}{2} \right) x^{2+2d_1+\dots+3d_j+d_{j+2}} \\ & - r \epsilon (x^{1+d_1+\dots+2d_j+d_{j+2}}), \dots, x^{2+2d_1+\dots+4d_j+\dots+2d_n} - r (x^{2+2d_1+\dots+4d_j+\dots+2d_{n-1}+d_n}), \\ & \left. x^{2+2d_1+\dots+4d_j+\dots+2d_n} - r (x^{2+2d_1+\dots+4d_j+\dots+2d_{n-1}+d_n}), \dots, 1 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Since} \quad \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4r}}{2} \right)^2 - r = \frac{1+\sqrt{1+4r}}{2}, \quad (23)$$

so by using x^2 in place of x in the definition of $\mathcal{H}_j$ and applying Lemma(3.4) of [6], we conclude that both s and $\mathcal{L}_r(s)$ are on the same side of $\mathcal{H}_j$ which are larger in the j^{th} coordinate. Hence result is true for hypersurface $\mathcal{H}_j$.

Similarly, for $x, \epsilon > 0$ consider the sequence

$$s = \left\{ 1, \frac{1+\sqrt{1+4r}}{2}x + \epsilon, x^2, \dots, x^{2+d_2+\dots+d_n}, x^{2+d_2+\dots+d_n}, \dots, 1 \right\}$$

After applying $\mathcal{L}_r$ operator on s and simplifying, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_r(s) = & \left\{ 1, \left(\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4r}}{2} \right)^2 - r \right) x^2 + \epsilon (1 + \sqrt{1+4r}) x + \epsilon^2, \right. \\ & x^4 - r \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4r}}{2} \right) x^{3+d_2} - r \epsilon x^{2+d_2}, \dots, x^{4+2d_2+\dots+2d_n} - r x^{4+2d_2+\dots+2d_{n-1}+d_n}, \\ & \left. x^{4+2d_2+\dots+2d_n} - r x^{4+2d_2+\dots+2d_{n-1}+d_n}, \dots, 1 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

again by (23) and Lemma(3.4) of [6], we conclude that s and $\mathcal{L}_r(s)$ lie on the same side of $\mathcal{H}_o$. Hence result is true for $\mathcal{H}_o$.

Finally, for $x, \epsilon > 0$, $d_n = 0$ consider the sequence in $\mathcal{R}$

$$s = \left\{ 1, x, x^{1+d_1}, \dots, x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}}, (1+r)x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}} + \epsilon, (1+r)x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}} + \epsilon, \dots, 1 \right\}$$

Applying $\mathcal{L}_r$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_r(s) = & \left\{ 1, x^2 - rx^{1+d_1}, x^{2+2d_1} - rx^{2+d_1+d_2}, \dots, x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{n-1}} - r(1+r)x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{n-2}+d_{n-1}} \right. \\ & - \epsilon rx^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-2}}, ((1+r)^2 - r(1+r))x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{n-1}} + \epsilon(r+2)x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}} + \epsilon^2, \\ & \left. ((1+r)^2 - r(1+r))x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{n-1}} + \epsilon(r+2)x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}} + \epsilon^2, \dots, 1 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Since $(1+r)^2 - r(1+r) = 1+r$,

so again by Lemma(3.4) of [6], we conclude that s and $\mathcal{L}_r(s)$ lie on the same side of $\mathcal{H}_n$. Hence the result is true for considering $\mathcal{H}_n$.

Consequently from the above three cases, $s \in \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_r(s) \in \mathcal{R}$. Hence any sequence in $\mathcal{R}$ is Generalized r-factor Infinite log-concave.

In case of the odd sequences, system is equivalent to the even case for $\mathcal{H}_o$ and $\mathcal{H}_j$. So we only need to consider for $\mathcal{H}_n$. Let

$$s = \left\{ 1, x, x^{1+d_1}, \dots, x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}}, \frac{1+\sqrt{1+4r}}{2}x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}} + \epsilon, x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}}, \dots, 1 \right\}$$

be a sequence in $\mathcal{R}$.

Applying $\mathcal{L}_r$ operator on s and simplifying, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_r(s) = & \left\{ 1, x^2 - rx^{1+d_1}, x^{2+2d_1} - rx^{2+d_1+d_2}, \dots, x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{n-1}} \right. \\ & - r \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4r}}{2} \right) x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{n-2}+d_{n-1}} - \epsilon rx^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-2}}, \\ & \left(\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4r}}{2} \right)^2 - r \right) x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{n-1}} + \epsilon \left(1 + \sqrt{1+4r} \right) x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}} + \epsilon^2, \\ & \left. x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{n-1}} - r \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4r}}{2} \right) x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{n-2}+d_{n-1}} - \epsilon rx^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-2}}, \dots, 1 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

So by (23) and Lemma(3.4) of [6], we conclude that s and $\mathcal{L}_r(s)$ lie on the same side of $\mathcal{H}_o$. Hence any (odd) sequence in $\mathcal{R}$ is also Generalized r-factor Infinite log-concave. $\square$

3 Generalized r-factor Infinite Log-Concavity Criterion

We start this section by a Lemma 2.1, proved by McNamara and Sagan [4] using the log-operator $\mathcal{L}$, that is

Lemma 3.1 (Lemma 2.1 of [4]). *Let (a_k) be a non-negative sequence and let $r_\circ = (3 + \sqrt{5})$. Then (a_k) being $r_\circ$ -factor log-concave implies that $\mathcal{L}(a_k)$ is too. So in this case (a_k) is infinitely log-concave.*

Proof. See McNamara and Sagan [4]. $\square$

If we apply the Generalized r-factor log-operator $\mathcal{L}_r$, instead of applying the log-operator $\mathcal{L}$, we have the following result:

Lemma 3.2. *Let (a_k) be a sequence of non-negative terms and $r = 1 + \sqrt{2}$. If (a_k) is Generalized r-factor log-concave, then so is $\mathcal{L}_r(a_k)$. Hence continuing, (a_k) is Generalized r-factor infinitely log-concave sequence.*

Proof. Let (a_k) be r-factor log-concave sequence of non-negative terms. Now $\mathcal{L}_r(a_k)$ will be r-factor log-concave, iff

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{L}_r(a_k)]^2 &\geq r [\mathcal{L}_r(a_{k-1})] [\mathcal{L}_r(a_{k+1})] \\ (a_k^2 - r a_{k-1} a_{k+1})^2 &\geq r (a_{k-1}^2 - r a_{k-2} a_k) (a_{k+1}^2 - r a_k a_{k+2}) \\ \left(\begin{aligned} &a_k^4 + (r^2 - r) a_{k-1}^2 a_{k+1}^2 \\ &+ r^2 a_{k-1}^2 a_k a_{k+2} + r^2 a_{k-2} a_k a_{k+1}^2 \end{aligned} \right) &\geq 2 r a_{k-1} a_k^2 a_{k+1} + r^3 a_{k-2} a_k^2 a_{k+2} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} 2 a_{k-1} a_k^2 a_{k+1} + r^2 a_{k-2} a_k^2 a_{k+2} &\leq \frac{1}{r} a_k^4 + (r-1) a_{k-1}^2 a_{k+1}^2 \\ &\quad + r a_{k-1}^2 a_k a_{k+2} + r a_{k-2} a_k a_{k+1}^2 \\ &\leq a_k^4 + (r-1) a_{k-1}^2 a_{k+1}^2 \\ &\quad + r a_{k-1}^2 a_k a_{k+2} + r a_{k-2} a_k a_{k+1}^2 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Since (a_k) is r-factor log concave, so applying $a_k^2 \geq r a_{k-1} a_{k+1}$, to the L.H.S. of the above inequality, we have

$$2 a_{k-1} a_k^2 a_{k+1} + r^2 a_{k-2} a_k^2 a_{k+2} \leq \frac{2}{r} a_k^4 + \frac{1}{r^2} a_k^4 = \left(\frac{2r+1}{r^2} \right) a_k^4$$

So to keep (24) valid, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2r+1}{r^2} &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow r^2 - 2r - 1 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$r = 1 + \sqrt{2}$, is the positive root of the above equation. This proves the assertion. Thus, if (a_k) is Generalized r -factor log-concave, then so is $\mathcal{L}_r(a_k)$. Continuing this way, if $\mathcal{L}_r^i(a_k)$ is Generalized r -factor log-concave, then so is $\mathcal{L}_r^{i+1}(a_k)$. This also implies Generalized r -factor infinite log-concavity of the sequence (a_k) . $\square$

Comparing this new value of r , say $r_1 = 1 + \sqrt{2}$, with the value of $r_o = \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ obtained by McNamara and Sagan [4]. We find that the value of $r_1 = 1 + \sqrt{2}$ obtained by using Generalized r -factor log-concavity is smaller than obtained by McNamara and Sagan which is $r_o = \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

So in this way we get an improved /smaller value of $r = 1 + \sqrt{2}$. It is clear that Generalized r -factor log concave operator is more useful and dynamic than the previously used log-operator $\mathcal{L}$. Hence for the new improved value of r , we can restate Lemma (3.1) [4] as:

Lemma 3.3. *Let $a_o, a_1, \dots, a_{2m+1}$ be symmetric, nonnegative sequence such that*

- (i) $a_k^2 \geq r_1 a_{k-1} a_{k+1}$ for $k < m$, and
- (ii) $a_m \geq (1 + r) a_{m-1}$ for $r \geq 1$

Then $\mathcal{L}_{r_1}(a_k)$ has the same properties, which implies that (a_k) is r_1 -factor infinitely log-concave.

Proof. Using definition of $\mathcal{L}_r$, it can be easily proved. For detail see [4] $\square$

Using above lemma we now show that Generalized r -factor log-operator $\mathcal{L}_r$ and r -factor hypersurfaces agrees with Theorem (3.2) of [4] for $r = 1$. It also proves theorem (2.1) alternatively.

Theorem 3.1 (Revised Theorem 3.2 [4]). *Any sequence corresponding to a point of $\mathcal{R}$ is Generalized infinitely r_1 -factor log-concave.*

Proof. Let (a_k) be a sequence corresponding to a point of $\mathcal{R}$. Then, for (a_k) , being on the correct side of $\mathcal{H}_j$, we have

$$a_j \geq \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4r}}{2} \right) x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_j}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow \quad a_j^2 &\geq \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4r}}{2} \right)^2 x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_j} \\
&= \left(\frac{1 + 2r + \sqrt{1 + 4r}}{2} \right) x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{j-1}} x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{j-1}+2d_j} \\
&= \left(\frac{1 + 2r + \sqrt{1 + 4r}}{2} \right) a_{j-1} a_{j+1} \quad \text{for } 0 < j < n
\end{aligned}$$

but $r \geq 1$, so above inequality is true for $r = 1$ as well

$$\Rightarrow \quad a_j^2 \geq \left(\frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right) a_{j-1} a_{j+1} = r_{\circ} a_{j-1} a_{j+1} \quad (25)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad a_j^2 \geq (1 + \sqrt{2}) a_{j-1} a_{j+1} = r_1 a_{j-1} a_{j+1} \quad (26)$$

Also being on the correct side of $\mathcal{H}_{\circ}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{\circ} &\geq \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4r}}{2} \right) x \\
\Rightarrow \quad a_{\circ}^2 &\geq \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4r}}{2} \right)^2 x^2 \\
&= \left(\frac{1 + 2r + \sqrt{1 + 4r}}{2} \right) a_1
\end{aligned}$$

also true for $r = 1$

$$\Rightarrow \quad a_{\circ}^2 \geq \left(\frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right) a_1 = r_{\circ} a_{-1} a_1 \quad (27)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad a_{\circ}^2 \geq (1 + \sqrt{2}) a_1 = r_1 a_{-1} a_1 \quad (28)$$

Odd Case

Being on the correct side of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{n}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_n &\geq \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4r}}{2} \right) x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}} \\
\Rightarrow \quad a_n^2 &\geq \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4r}}{2} \right)^2 x^{2+2d_1+\dots+2d_{n-1}} \\
&= \left(\frac{1 + 2r + \sqrt{1 + 4r}}{2} \right) x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}} x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}} \\
&= \left(\frac{1 + 2r + \sqrt{1 + 4r}}{2} \right) a_{n-1} a_{n+1}
\end{aligned}$$

above inequality is true for $r = 1$

$$\Rightarrow a_n^2 \geq \left(\frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right) a_{n-1} a_{n+1} = r_o a_{n-1} a_{n+1} \quad (29)$$

$$\Rightarrow a_n^2 \geq \left(1 + \sqrt{2} \right) a_{n-1} a_{n+1} = r_1 a_{n-1} a_{n+1} \quad (30)$$

Even Case

Being on the correct side of $\mathcal{H}_n$ is equivalent to

$$a_n \geq (1 + r) x^{1+d_1+\dots+d_{n-1}} = (1 + r) a_{n-1} \quad (31)$$

$$\Rightarrow a_n \geq 2 a_{n-1} \quad (32)$$

Since for $r = 1$, (25), (27), (29) agrees with Lemma 3.1 (i) and (32) with (ii) of McNamara and Sagan [4]. Thus any sequence in $\mathcal{R}$ is infinitely log-concave for $r = 1$. Hence Generalized r-factor log-operator $\mathcal{L}_r$ and r-factor hypersurfaces agrees with the results obtained by [4] for $r = 1$. Also (26), (28), (30) and (31) by Lemma 3.3 proves theorem (2.1) alternatively. $\square$

References

- [1] Boros, G. and Moll, V., *Irresistible Integrals: Symbolics, Analysis and Experiments in the Evaluation of Integrals.*, Oxford University Press, Cambridge (2004).
- [2] Brenti, Francesco: *Log-concave and unimodal sequences in algebra, combinatorics, and geometry: an update.* In Jerusalem combinatorics '93, vol. 178 of Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 71-89.
- [3] Kauers, M., and Paule, P. *A computer proof of Moll's log-concavity conjecture.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135, 12 (2007), 3847-3856.
- [4] P. R. W. McNamara and B. E. Sagan, *Infinite log-concavity: Developments and conjectures*, Advances in Applied Mathematics 44 (2010).
- [5] Stanley, R. P. *Log-concave and unimodal sequences in algebra, combinatorics, and geometry.* In Graph theory and its applications: East and West (Jinan, 1986), vol. 576 of Ann. New York Acad. Sci. New York Acad. Sci., New York, 1989, pp. 500-535.
- [6] Uminsky, D., and Yeats, K. *Unbounded regions of infinitely logconcave sequences.* Electronic Journal of Combinatorics. 14(2007).